

Prior Authorship & Good-Faith Notice

Rogue Management Group, LLC asserts prior authorship and original development of certain governance-related architectural concepts and system designs that predate similar public implementations observed elsewhere in the AI ecosystem.

Our concerns are specifically related to **Hcompany.ai** / **Hcompany** / **HcompAI**.

During the summer of this year, we made **two separate good-faith attempts** to contact the organization directly. In those communications, we:

- Requested a temporary takedown or pause for review
- Presented our findings and documentation
- Explicitly offered the opportunity for our conclusions to be disproven
- Communicated that, if our concerns proved unfounded, a formal public apology would be issued

To date, **no response has been received**.

Our decision to document and publish these concerns is not driven by hostility or presumption of intent, but by the broader context in which this issue arises.

We are at the beginning of a transformative technological period, marked by rapid advances in artificial intelligence, agentic systems, and autonomous tooling. At the same time, there is heightened public scrutiny, regulatory attention, and societal concern regarding AI governance, misuse, and systemic risk. These conditions place an elevated responsibility on all participants in the AI ecosystem to act transparently and responsibly when credible questions are raised.

Our concern extends beyond our own work. We believe unresolved questions around authorship, governance design, and system provenance and particularly when coupled with rapid rebranding, restructuring, or large-scale fundraising that have implications for other builders, downstream users, and public trust in emerging AI technologies.

Publicly observable activity, including frequent revisions, commits, content changes, and subsequent deletions across multiple platforms, and our tokenization appearance locations, contributed to our decision to preserve records and seek clarification. These observations are presented as **documented facts**, not allegations of intent.

We emphasize that this matter remains open. We continue to welcome engagement, clarification, or correction, and our objective remains resolution through transparency rather than escalation.